

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,464	01/25/2001	Naoji Otsuka	684.3130	3950
5514	7590 05/21/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
	ELLER PLAZA L, NY 10112		NGUYEN,	THINH H
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

			11/	
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	_	09/768,464	OTSUKA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thinh H Nguyen	2861	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	th th correspond nce address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sisons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after independent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute. cause the application to become A	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) 📑	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	or allowance except for formal ma e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)🛛	Claim(s) $1-30$ is/are pending in the app	olication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5)□•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26 and 28-30</u> is/are rejected	l.		
7)🔀	Claim(s) 27 is/are objected to.			
	-Claim(s)are-subject-to-restrictio	n-and/or-election-requirement .		
Applicati	on Papers			
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the E			
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
	Applicant may not request that any object			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed o		disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	7		
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		- 4.24 \ 40	
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority do			
•	2. Certified copies of the priority do			
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action f	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) 🗌 🕹	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	ı).
<u>۾</u> 15)∐ ،) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	age provisional application has l domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	een received. . §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
C Detect and T	rademark Office			

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the 1. disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 2. that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United

States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Art Unit: 2861

3. Claims 1-3, 8-16, 18-23, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Askeland et al. (U.S. 6,254,217)

Askeland (figs.11A-11C; col.2, line 36 – col.5, line 20) discloses every element of the instant claimed printheads comprising nozzles colors being disposed symmetrically (col.3, lines 28-31) in the scanning direction wherein recording of the ink of different colors to at least one the plurality of the secondary color subpixels within the pixel areas are formed corresponding to an order different from the order of another, wherein mask patterns according to the forward and rearward direction are used to govern the deposition of the different color inks drops having different tones and volumes to thereby provide multi-level print image (see also claims 1-40)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Askeland et al. (U.S. 6,254,217) in view of prior art of record to Matsufuji et al. (U.S. 4,593,295)

Askeland teaches the printing method and printheads arrangement except for said printheads being arranged in alternately symmetrical with respect to the nozzle color.

Art Unit: 2861

Matsufuji teaches the same ink printing method and print apparatus with printheads being arranged in alternately symmetrical with respect to the nozzle color. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the printhead arrangement of Matsufuji in Askeland printing process since the purpose of Matsufuji process is also to eliminate hue effect.

6. Claims 4-7, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Askeland et al. (U.S. 6,254,217) in view of Fujita et al. (U.S. 5,907,332)

Askeland discloses the instant claimed subject matter except for expressly teaching the use of print buffer of the respective printhead.

Fujita (fig.2) suggests print buffers 105 for the CMYK printheads and the data controller 103 that typically used in common printers. As for data being distributed alternately and randomly. It is widely known in the art in view of the mask process implemented by Askeland that mask process can be processed in checker pattern, halftone, random as such process eliminate print nonuniformity and prolong the life of the nozzles.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed March 4, 2003 has been entered and carefully considered.

Art Unit: 2861

نه : است

Applicants' arguments with respect to new issues that presented in the newly amended claims have been considered as noted in the above new grounds of rejection.

The objection to the Abstract is mentioned in Applicant's remarks. However, the correction has not been made.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension-fee-pursuant to 37 CER 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Thinh Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-7487.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

P

Thinh Nguyen May 14, 2003

> Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800